# ECE 573 Cloud Computing and Cloud Native Systems Lecture 14 Scalability

Professor Jia Wang Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Illinois Institute of Technology

October 9, 2024

[Considerations for Scaling](#page-3-0)

[Efficiency without Scaling](#page-10-0)

### ▶ This lecture: 7

#### ▶ Next two lectures

- ▶ Mesos: A Platform for Fine-Grained Resource Sharing in the Data Center [https://static.usenix.org/events/](https://static.usenix.org/events/nsdi11/tech/full_papers/Hindman_new.pdf) [nsdi11/tech/full\\_papers/Hindman\\_new.pdf](https://static.usenix.org/events/nsdi11/tech/full_papers/Hindman_new.pdf)
- ▶ Large-scale cluster management at Google with Borg [https://storage.googleapis.com/](https://storage.googleapis.com/pub-tools-public-publication-data/pdf/43438.pdf) [pub-tools-public-publication-data/pdf/43438.pdf](https://storage.googleapis.com/pub-tools-public-publication-data/pdf/43438.pdf)

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>[Considerations for Scaling](#page-3-0)

[Efficiency without Scaling](#page-10-0)

▶ Able to add resources on demand avoids exteneded downtime ▶ Serve unexpected amount of users.  $\blacktriangleright$  Make resources ready to be available when some fails.  $\triangleright$  Using more resources than necessary is expensive.  $\triangleright$  Only pay for what you need – a major advantage for startups.  $\triangleright$  Scalable services can live longer than its original expectations.  $\triangleright$  Unscalable ones aren't capable of growing much.

- ▶ Scalability is not all about adding physical resources to handle large swings in demand.
- ▶ Systems built with efficiency in mind are more likely to be scalable.
	- $\triangleright$  Able to absorb higher demand without the need to adding hardware.
- $\triangleright$  Go helps to build services that are more efficient.
	- ▶ In addition to scalable architecture and messaging patterns.

 $\triangleright$  Vertical scaling (scale up)

▶ Increase resource allocation for a single system.

 $\blacktriangleright$  E.g. to rent a better server instance – though there is a limit.

 $\blacktriangleright$  Horizontal scaling (scale out)

▶ Duplicate to limit the burden on any individual server.

 $\blacktriangleright$  The presence of state may make it difficult or impossible.

▶ Functional partitioning

▶ Decompose large system into smaller functional units.

▶ Each unit is independently optimized, managed, and scaled.

# Performance Bottlenecks

- ▶ CPU: processing power
	- ▶ Better CPU, GPU/FPGA accelerators, caching (more memory), distributed and parallel processing (more network  $I/O$ ).
- ▶ Memory: capacity, throughput, and latency
	- ▶ Better memory, more memory channels, compression (more CPU), paging (more disk I/O), distributed caching (more network I/O)
- $\triangleright$  Disk I/O: throughput and latency
	- ▶ Better drive, caching (more memory), compression (more CPU), distributed storage (more network I/O)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Network I/O: throughput and latency
	- ▶ Shorter distance, better hardware, compression (more CPU).
- ▶ Scaling up is difficult since we are approaching limits of physics: device sizes, power density and heat transfer, and speed of light.

### Application State vs. Resource State

▶ Application state: variables, objects, execution flow.

- ▶ For an application to resume itself if terminated unexpectedly.
- ▶ Not limited to the application itself: what about network connections and other resources managed by the OS?
- $\triangleright$  Can we have a solution to maintain application state that is transparent to the application?

▶ Resource state: data stored explicitly in some data store.

- ▶ Allow shared accesses via database operations or with stronger guarantees like ACID transactions.
- Durability can be provided to survive failures.

## Stateful vs Stateless Applications

- ▶ Stateful applications are those that cannot be safely restarted if their application states are not known.
	- ▶ E.g. after unexpected terminations.
- ▶ Stateless applications are those that can utilize resource state to restart from a known good configuration.
	- ▶ Benefit scalability since multiple requests can be processed independently by creating new application instances.
	- ▶ Make fail-fast possible which is simpler to implement than to recover from faults.
	- ▶ Encourage idempotent operations whose results are easier to cache.

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Outline**

[Considerations for Scaling](#page-3-0)

[Efficiency without Scaling](#page-10-0)

# **Caching**

- ▶ Trade-off memory to save CPU and disk/network throughput, and to reduce access latency.
- $\triangleright$  Could be implemented as a key-value map, but need to support
	- ▶ Concurrent accesses.
	- ▶ Less contention at increaing amount of clients.
	- ▶ Bounded memory usage.
- ▶ A popular choice: LRU (least recently used) cache
	- $\triangleright$  Key-value pairs in the map are additionally connected via a doubly linked list.
	- ▶ When a pair is accessed, it is moved to the back of the list.
	- ▶ Pairs at the front of the list are the least recently used, and can be evicted if the memory usage reaches the limit.
	- ▶ Sharding may improve performance to access map concurrently, and there are efficient algorithms to manipulate linked list concurrently.

# Synchronization

- ▶ Communication via shared memory depends a lot on synchronization primitives like locks.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Threads competing for the lock at the same time will cause contentions, which may degrade performance.
	- ▶ Contentions are more likely to happen if a thread hold a lock for a long time, e.g. to complete a long computation – this is when you need the performance the most.
	- ▶ Consider to use fine grained locks via sharding to reduce contention but be careful about deadlocks.
- $\triangleright$  Go prefers to use communication via message passing.
	- ▶ Still, since multiple goroutines may access the same channel concurrently for read and write, synchronization is unavoidable.
	- ▶ However, because each goroutine only need to access the channel briefly for only read or write but not long computation, there will be very few contentions.
	- ▶ Buffered channels further reduce blocking and enable all goroutines to run at their full speeds.
- ▶ GC (garbage collection) gives the illusion that memory from all unused objects can be reclaimed for future use.
	- ▶ Apparently "no memory leak!"
- ▶ However, there are other resources not managed by GC.
	- ▶ And they will consume memory if not released properly, causing memory leaks.
	- ▶ E.g. network connections, file descriptors, threads not terminated but holding a lot of memory blocks.
- ▶ For Go, pay special attention to goroutines that do not have a clear exiting condition.
	- ▶ They may refer to channels that consume a lot of memory.
	- ▶ GC cannot reclaim these channels and the associated memory as the goroutines are still using them.
- ▶ Stateless applications are easier to scale horizontally.
- ▶ Scalability is not all about adding physical resources.
- ▶ Optimizing applications to overcome the performance bottlenecks helps to scale them better.