

# ECE 443/518 – Computer Cyber Security

## Lecture 22 Access Control II

Professor Jia Wang  
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering  
Illinois Institute of Technology

November 6, 2024

# Outline

Integrity Policies

Hybrid Policies

Access Control Mechanisms

# Reading Assignment

- ▶ This lecture: ICS 6,7,14
- ▶ Next lecture: Digital Forensics

Integrity Policies

Hybrid Policies

Access Control Mechanisms

# Goals and Principles of Operation

- ▶ Goal: preserve integrity of data.
  - ▶ E.g. among developers and users of a system.
- ▶ Separation of duty: allow multiple parties to perform a critical function to prevent a single one to cheat.
  - ▶ Less chance of collusion when more parties are involved.
- ▶ Separation of function: partition the system functionality so each party only works on a necessary portion.
- ▶ Logging and auditing: provide recovery and accountability.

# Biba Integrity Model

- ▶ A set  $O$  of objects representing data.
- ▶ A set  $S$  of subjects representing who can access data.
- ▶ A set  $I$  of integrity levels representing trust.
- ▶ A function  $i$  that assigns a subject/object an integrity level.
- ▶ Biba's model
  - ▶  $s \in S$  can read  $o \in O$  if and only if  $i(s) \leq i(o)$ .
  - ▶  $s \in S$  can write to  $o \in O$  if and only if  $i(o) \leq i(s)$ .
  - ▶  $s_1 \in S$  can execute  $s_2 \in S$  if and only if  $i(s_2) \leq i(s_1)$ .
- ▶ Read up, write down.

Integrity Policies

Hybrid Policies

Access Control Mechanisms

# Chinese Wall Model

- ▶ Derived from the British laws concerning conflict of interest.
  - ▶ Refer equally to confidentiality and integrity.
- ▶ Example: investment house.
  - ▶ Object (data): records provided by client companies.
  - ▶ Subject (analysts): make use of data to guide investments for client companies.
  - ▶ Conflict of interest: an analyst cannot provide guidance to two companies in competition, since potentially one may gain at others expense.



# Formal Model

- ▶ The objects of the database are items of information related to a company.
- ▶ A company dataset (CD) contains objects related to a single company.
  - ▶  $CD(O)$ : the company dataset that contains object  $O$ .
- ▶ A conflict of interest (COI) class contains the datasets of companies in competition.
  - ▶  $COI(O)$ : the COI class that contains object  $O$ .
  - ▶ Assume each object belongs to exactly one COI class.
- ▶ An analyst cannot read data from two companies if they belong to the same COI class.
  - ▶  $PR(S)$ : set of data read by the analyst  $S$  so far.

## CW-Simple Security Condition

- ▶  $S$  can read  $O$  if and only if any of the following holds.
  1. There is an object  $O'$  such that  $S$  has accessed  $O'$  and  $CD(O') = CD(O)$ .
    - ▶ If  $S$  is reading data from a company,  $S$  is allowed to read other data from the same company.
  2. For all objects  $O' \in PR(S)$ ,  $COI(O') \neq COI(O)$ .
    - ▶  $S$  can read data from a COI class  $S$  never read before.
  3.  $O$  is a sanitized object.
    - ▶  $S$  can read data that is publicly available.

- ▶  $S$  may write  $O$  if and only if both of the following conditions hold.
  1. The CW-simple security condition permits  $S$  to read  $O$ .
  2. For all unsanitized objects  $O'$  that  $S$  can read,  
 $CD(O') = CD(O)$ .
    - ▶  $S$  cannot propagate information between different companies.

Integrity Policies

Hybrid Policies

Access Control Mechanisms

# A Naive Implementation

- ▶ Implement access control matrix as a 2-D array.
- ▶ Issues
  - ▶ Lots of subjects and objects imply huge storage requirement.
  - ▶ To create and destroy subjects and objects require to manage array storage dynamically, which is complicated and could lead to buggy implementation.
  - ▶ To search for certain information is not efficient, e.g. who is the owner of an object?
- ▶ Observations
  - ▶ No access: empty entries.
  - ▶ Default rules: similar/same entries.
  - ▶ Hierarchy for data management: same entries.

# Access Control Lists (ACL)

- ▶ Store each column as a list.
  - ▶  $acl(o)$  per object  $o$ .
  - ▶ Consist of pairs  $(s, r)$ :  $r$  describes how  $s$  could access  $o$ .
  - ▶ Save storage by not storing  $r = \emptyset$ .
- ▶ Owner of object can be stored with the list to avoid search.
- ▶ Use additional optimizations to save storage further.
  - ▶ Group subjects to reduce size of every ACL.
  - ▶ Use default values to eliminate most ACLs.

# ACL Example

	file 1	file 2	process 1	process 2
process 1	read, write, own	read	read, write, execute, own	write
process 2	append	read, own	read	read, write, execute, own

**Figure 2-1 An access control matrix. The system has two processes and two files. The set of rights is {read, write, execute, append, own}.**

$acl(\text{file 1}) = \{ (\text{process 1}, \{ \text{read, write, own} \}), (\text{process 2}, \{ \text{append} \}) \}$

$acl(\text{file 2}) = \{ (\text{process 1}, \{ \text{read} \}), (\text{process 2}, \{ \text{read, own} \}) \}$

$acl(\text{process 1}) = \{ (\text{process 1}, \{ \text{read, write, execute, own} \}), (\text{process 2}, \{ \text{read} \}) \}$

$acl(\text{process 2}) = \{ (\text{process 1}, \{ \text{write} \}), (\text{process 2}, \{ \text{read, write, execute, own} \}) \}$

(Bishop)

# ACL for Unix/Linux Systems

- ▶ Abbreviation by grouping subjects.
- ▶ Three classes of subjects: user, group, others.
  - ▶ Two subjects are associated with each object – a owner and a group owner.
  - ▶ Class user: the owner.
  - ▶ Class group: subjects in the same group as the group owner.
  - ▶ Class others: all other subjects.
- ▶ Three access rights: read, write, execute.
  - ▶ 3-bit for each group of subjects: r highest, x lowest.
  - ▶ Written as an octal number or 3 letters.
    - ▶ e.g. 7 for 'rwx' and 5 for 'r-x', where '-' stands for not allowed.
- ▶ Need 9 bits to store ACL for each object.
  - ▶ Written as 3 octal number or 9 letters for user, group, and others from left to right.
  - ▶ e.g. 755 for 'rwxr-xr-x' where user (owner) can read/write/execute, group (group member) can read/execute, others can read/execute.



## ACL for Unix/Linux Systems (Cont.)

- ▶ Permission for directories
  - ▶ read: list files in directory.
  - ▶ write: create/delete file, modify file name.
  - ▶ execute: enter directory.
- ▶ setuid and setgid for executable files: one bit each
  - ▶ If you execute a file, the system shall use your id and your group id to authorize accesses to files.
  - ▶ As an exception, you may execute the file using its owner's id if setuid is set, or its group owner's id if setgid is set.
  - ▶ Useful to expose resources (not necessarily files) accessible only by the owner to other users.
- ▶ setgid for directory
  - ▶ All subdirectories created will have the same group owner.
  - ▶ Useful to share directories among a group of users.
- ▶ It is also possible to use ACLs to assign fine grained permissions to each subject.

# ACL Flavors

- ▶ Which subjects can modify an object's ACL?
  - ▶ At least the owner should be able to.
  - ▶ In some systems, other subjects may be allowed to modify ACL, at the cost of additional storage and system complexity.
- ▶ Do the ACLs apply to privileged users?
  - ▶ No for Linux: but what about 'sudo rm -rf /'?
  - ▶ Yes for Windows: but how do administrators remove malicious software?
- ▶ Does the ACL support groups or wildcards?
  - ▶ Save storage and effort but be careful about new subjects/objects.
- ▶ How are contradictory permissions handled?
  - ▶ Take the more specific match.
  - ▶ Deny access if any denies.
  - ▶ Take the first match.
- ▶ What about default settings?
  - ▶ Default applies last, e.g. to deny.
  - ▶ Apply default setting at creation and allow to modify.

# Summary

- ▶ Integrity policies protect data integrity by constraining who can do what in the system.
  - ▶ Biba: subjects and objects. Read up, write down.
- ▶ Hybrid policies allow to protect both integrity and confidentiality.
- ▶ Access Control Lists (ACL) is a possible implementation of access control matrix, and is optimized for practical use.